UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/560,656 | 04/05/2006 | David C. Jay | AWEK 3359 2261 | | |
| 7812 SMITH-HILL | 7590 11/16/2007 AND BEDELL, P.C. | EXAMINER | | | |
| 16100 NW CORNELL ROAD, SUITE 220 | | | MILLER, CARL STUART | | |
| BEAVERTON, OR 97006 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3747 | | |
| • | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 11/16/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | | | | | |
| | | 10/560,656 | | JAY ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Carl S. Miller | | 3747 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 August 2007</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) 🖂 | Claim(s) 17-28 is/are pending in the application | n. | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | ☑ Claim(s) 17-24 and 26-28 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)🖂 | Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election require | ment. | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | | jected to by the E | Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held | in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the | attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | ppies not receive | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | |
| 2) D Notic | te of References Cited (FTO-092) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | +) □ 5) □ | Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P | ate | | | |
| | rr No(s)/Mail Date | | Other: | • | | | |

Art Unit: 3747

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-24 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harndorf in view of Felton (EP ('504)).

Harndorf, at Figure 2, teaches a common rail fuel system that uses a throttle device (19) in the line from the common rail to the injector. The throttle device is obviously designed to slow the flow to the injector needle when there is a pressure surge created by the opening of the control valve (7) or to slow any similar return surge from the closing of the needle valve.

Felton (EP ('504)) teaches using a throttle valve on the output from an injection pump and upstream of the injection needle valve. The throttle is designed to decrease the amount of fuel reaching the needle at the beginning of the pumping stroke and to decrease the effect of any backpressure wave from the injector needle as a result of the closing of the needle.

It would have been obvious to modify the throttle of Harndorf by using the valve of Felton as the throttle since the pulses created by the opening of the Harndorf control valve and by the closing of the needle valve would have been similar in both Harndorf and Felton.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,656

Årt Unit: 3747

Claim 25 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments filed 8/29/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As a preliminary matter, it should be noted that Claim 28 would have been objected to if the claim had included language stating that the "thickness" - - at all points along the longitudinal axis - - (are) "smaller than the internal diameter of the piston means." The current wording of the claim however is too broad and it would have been obvious to meet this limitation at, at least, one point along the piston.

Secondly, the rejection under Aldinger has been withdrawn because the claims now require a passage that is constantly open to the outlet going to the injector. Felton teaches this feature. The applicant will probably note that the Felton piston is biased in the opposite direction to that of the applicant's device, however this is not relevant except with respect to Claim 25. All of the other claims merely require certain passages that function to limit the fluid flow as does the Felton valve.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/560,656 Page 4

Art Unit: 3747

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is 571-272-4849. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Cronin, can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Carl S. Miller/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747